

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

لمهم

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/730,583		12/08/2003	Baoliang Wang	1074-057	2093		
21034	7590	07/28/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
IPSOLON 805 SW BR		Y. #2740	STAFIRA, MICHAEL PATRICK				
PORTLAND, OR 97205				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2877			

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summan	10/730,583	WANG, BAOLIANG	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	J
	Michael P. Stafira	2877	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS it te, cause the application to become ABANDC	e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this commu DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.
Status		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•		
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		he Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-1	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Appli Ority documents have been rec	cation No	ge
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		eived.	
	•		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumn		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Ma 5)	nal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)

Application/Control Number: 10/730,583 Page 2

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-23, drawn to determining a birefringence property of a sample, classified in class 356, subclass 364.
 - II. Claims 24-27, drawn to a method of selecting a process from a plurality of processes for measuring birefringence, classified in class 700, subclass 108.
 - III. Claims 28-30, drawn to method of aligning optical elements of a system for measuring birefringence, classified in class 356, subclass 399.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case Group II can be used in can be used in a product for manufacturing substrates.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case Group III can be used in the product of an optical microscope.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,583 Page 3

Art Unit: 2877

4. Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case Group III can be used in a product for a optical microscope.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II, III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. A telephone call was made to Mark Meininger on July 20, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

In the telephone call it was determined that the examiner and applicant's claims did not match-up precisely and therefore, it would be recommended that the applicant receive a paper copy of the restriction so as to respond to the action with an amendment correcting the claims and electing an invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,583 Page 4

Art Unit: 2877

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P. Stafira whose telephone number is 571-272-2430. The examiner can normally be reached on 4/10 Schedule Mon.-Thurs...

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Toatley can be reached on 571-272-2059. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael P. Stafira Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877

July 22, 2004